

Finding the magnitude of human-induced Northern Hemisphere land-cover transformation between 6 and 0.2 ka BP

Andria Dawson, X. Cao, M Chaput, E Hopla, F Li, M Edwards, R. Fyfe, K Gajewski, S. J. Goring, U Herzschuh, et al.

To cite this version:

Andria Dawson, X. Cao, M Chaput, E Hopla, F Li, et al.. Finding the magnitude of human-induced Northern Hemisphere land-cover transformation between 6 and 0.2 ka BP. Past Global Changes Magazine, 2018, 26 (1), pp.34-35. $10.22498/pages.26.1.34$. hal-02096374

HAL Id: hal-02096374 <https://univ-tlse2.hal.science/hal-02096374v1>

Submitted on 11 Apr 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Finding the magnitude of humaninduced Northern Hemisphere land-cover transformation between 6 and 0.2 ka BP

Andria Dawson¹ , X. Cao², M. Chaput³, E. Hopla⁴, F. Li⁵, M. Edwards⁴, R. Fyfe⁶, K. Gajewski³, S.J. Goring⁷, U. Herzschuh^{2,8}, F. Mazier⁹, S. Sugita¹⁰, J.W. Williams¹¹, Q. Xu¹² and M.-J. Gaillard⁵

A spatially explicit pollen-based reconstruction of Northern Hemisphere land cover suggests open land increases of 10 to 100% across large parts of the study area over the period 6 and 0.2 ka BP. This change may have influenced past climate.

The quantification of feedbacks and forcings from the terrestrial biosphere onto past and future climate requires Earth system modeling studies that make use of well-constrained descriptions of past vegetation cover (henceforth land cover) and land-use change (Harrison et al.; Gaillard et al., this issue). Most efforts to map past vegetation on a continental to global scale are based on pollen percentages or pollen-based qualitative biome reconstructions. The growing availability of communitysupported pollen-data repositories, improved radiometric dating precision, novel age-depth modeling approaches, pollen-vegetation model developments, and new statistical techniques have facilitated the development of highly resolved estimates of hemisphericscale late Quaternary land-cover change. Spatially explicit regional land-cover reconstructions using pollen preserved in sedimentary archives can be achieved with mechanistic pollen-vegetation models, a kind of proxy system model that describes the processes governing pollen production, transport, and

deposition from vegetation to sedimentary archives.

New generation vegetation reconstructions

The PAGES LandCover6k working group (Gaillard et al., this issue) used established methods and comprehensive pollen and vegetation datasets to develop global Holocene (the last 11.5 millennia) reconstructions of land-use and land-cover change. More specifically, the working group focused on time periods commonly targeted by Earth system modelers (Harrison et al., this issue): e.g. 6 and 0.2 ka BP. Preliminary results for the Northern Hemisphere (NH) demonstrate the potential of pollen-based land-cover products in the assessment of anthropogenic land-cover change scenarios (e.g. KK: Kaplan et al. 2009; HYDE: Klein-Goldewijk et al. 2017), and for quantifying climate forcings due to past land-use change. Figure 1 shows the first hemisphericscale land-cover reconstruction based on a mechanistic pollen-vegetation model; the

Figure 1: Northern Hemisphere (>40°N) mean fractional land cover for open land, summer-green, and evergreen trees for 6, 0.2ka BP, and the difference between 6 and 0.2 ka BP. Land-cover estimates were generated from site-level pollen records using the REVEALS model and aggregated to 1° x 1°grid cells. The standard errors (SEs) are not shown in this figure for readability (see Fig. 2).

model used here is known as REVEALS (Sugita 2007). These preliminary results precede and guide the publication of a more comprehensive description of methods and results.

REVEALS can account for inter-taxonomic differences in productivity, dispersal, and deposition of pollen taxa in sedimentary basins (Sugita 2007) and estimates plant abundance on a regional spatial scale (2.5-10 10³ km²) in percentage cover or m²/m² using sedimentary pollen records. REVEALS also provides standard errors (SEs) of plant-cover estimates (Fig. 2). The reported SEs account for component errors within the relative pollen-productivity estimates (RPPs) of the represented pollen taxa and the variation among pollen records used in the reconstruction (Sugita 2007). REVEALS has been validated in several regions of Europe (e.g. Hellman et al. 2008) and North America (Sugita et al. 2010), i.e. pollen-based REVEALS estimates of plant cover based on modern pollen assemblages from surface lake deposits are comparable to plant cover inferred from satellite data, air photographs and vegetation inventories. The REVEALS approach is increasingly used to develop regional-scale land-cover reconstructions, but so far much of that effort has focused on Europe (e.g. Trondman et al. 2015). Land-cover estimates from REVEALS have also been compared to other methods. For example, Roberts et al. (2018) show that REVEALS-based past European land-cover reconstructions differ significantly from those of other pollen-based methods for large parts of the Holocene (Woodbridge et al., this issue). Kaplan et al. (2017) demonstrate that the KK scenarios of European Holocene deforestation are closer to REVEALS estimates of open-land cover than the HYDE scenarios version 3.1.

REVEALS requires several input variables that are not typically needed for other types of paleovegetation reconstructions, including lake or bog size, RPPs, and fall speed of pollen; this information may be missing in legacy data. LandCover6k has led an international effort to gather the relevant knowledge and data for this new generation of landcover reconstructions. The methodological protocol used follows Mazier et al. (2012) and Trondman et al. (2015). Reconstructions are grid-based (1° x 1° which is the approximate spatial scale of REVEALS reconstructions) and use all appropriate lake- and bog-pollen records available within each grid cell. Pollen records are selected according to criteria

Figure 2: Fractional evergreen cover for 0.2 ka BP (red indicates high cover, see color scale) and reconstruction uncertainty expressed as coefficient of variation (CV; circles; the largest circle corresponds to a SE ≥ mean REVEALS estimates, i.e. estimate not different from zero).

including chronology quality, time resolution and pollen-count size. Pollen data was obtained from the European Pollen Database (EPD), Neotoma Paleoecological Database [\(http://neotomadb.org](http://neotomadb.org)), and other pollen data archives. This synthesis uses multiple RPPs for a total of 45 plant taxa/pollen morphological types. These RPPs are compiled from Calcote (1995), Commerford et al. (2013) and Prentice and Webb (1986) for northern United States, Canada, and Alaska (23 taxa; Dawson et al. unpublished); Mazier et al. (2012; 25 taxa) for Europe; Cao et al. (unpublished; 27 taxa) for Siberia; and Li et al. (2017 and unpublished, 25 taxa) for China. The REVEALS estimates shown here (Fig. 1 and 2) are for the time periods 0.1- 0.35 ka BP (0.2 ka, i.e. end of the Little Ice Age, high deforestation) and 5.7-6.2 ka BP (6 ka: low deforestation). The width (i.e. resolution) of the time windows follows Trondman et al. (2015) and ensures that pollen counts are large enough to obtain REVEALS estimates with low SEs. The obtained REVEALS estimates (and their SEs) for the individual plant taxa were grouped into three land-cover types: evergreen trees, summer-green trees, and open land§ .

Land-cover transformation between 6 and 0.2 ka BP

This synthesis of NH land cover at 6 and 0.2 ka BP (Fig. 1) highlights stability (green color, +/- 10%) or regional increases (red colors, >10%) in evergreen trees (ET) in Europe (Finland and Sweden), southern Siberia, western Cordillera, and northeastern regions of North America. However, ET decreased across portions of Siberia, subarctic Canada, and Scandinavia (blue colors, <-10%). Summer-green trees (ST) decreased (blue colors, <-10%) most significantly in Europe; they also occur in other regions of the NH (North America, Canada, Siberia, western China) where either open

land or evergreen trees increased (green, with up to 10%, to red colors). Open land (OL) increases are evident and largest in Europe, and also occur in south-central and eastern Siberia, and northwest China. In North America, OL decreased in the eastern Great Plains, with less pronounced and localized OL increases in both western and eastern North America.

These patterns of OL increases reflect both extrinsic forcing by humans and climate and intrinsic forest processes. Further integration with paleoclimatic and archeological data is needed to disentangle these influences. Regardless of the cause, changes towards more open landscape may have affected both the global and regional climate. For example, climate modeling experiments using a regional climate model in Europe demonstrated biogeophysical forcings on climate from anthropogenic land-cover change between 6 and 0.2 ka BP of +/- 0.5-1.0°C, with the sign and size of the forcing varying by geographical location and season (Strandberg et al. 2014). Other experiments suggest that early anthropogenic land use in Mesoamerica (ca. Late Classic Maya Period, ca. 1.7-1.05 ka BP) may have decreased summer precipitation by 10 to 20% (Cook et al. 2012).

Improving dynamic vegetation models

The Landcover6k REVEALS syntheses will be of interest to many researchers, but they are primarily designed to support modeling studies of vegetation-atmosphere-anthropogenic feedbacks in the past. The REVEALS estimates will be compared to and integrated with the history-archaeology-based LandCover6k land-use mapping (Gaillard et al., Morrison et al., this issue). These syntheses will be used to evaluate and improve both land-cover and land-use descriptions that are implemented

in Earth system modeling, in particular in the next phases of the PMIP (Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project; Harrison et al. and Stocker et al., this issue). Current work is focusing on the development of new methods that allow for reconstructions with more complete spatio-temporal coverage and which more explicitly account for uncertainty in the data (e.g. age-depth model uncertainty) and processes (e.g. dispersal and differential production) . This explicit treatment of uncertainty, combined with recent advances in data assimilation, allows for the integration of quantitative land-cover estimates and dynamic vegetation models to move towards improved ecological and climate forecasting.

§**Evergreen trees**: fir, spruce, pine, hemlock, juniper, other species of the cypress family; **summer-green trees**: larch, alder, birch, hornbeam, hazel, beech, ash, aspen/poplar, oak, linden, elm, chestnut (if growing as forest), willow; **open land**: heather, pink family, chestnut (if growing in cultural landscapes), walnut, amaranth/goosefoot family, ragweed, mugwort, other species of the daisy family, cabbage family, cannabis/hop, bindweed, sedges, legume family, meadow sweet and other species of *Filipendula*, mint family, lily family, grasses, knotweed family, ribwort plantain, hoary plantain, common plantain and other plantain species, buttercup family, rose family, common sorrel/sheep's sorrel and other sorrel species, rye, and other cereals.

AFFILIATIONS

1 Department of General Education, Mount Royal University, Calgary, Canada

2 Polar Terrestrial Environmental Systems, Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research in the Helmholtz Association, Potsdam, Germany

³Department of Geography, Environment and Geomatics, University of Ottawa, Canada

⁴Geography and Environment, University of Southampton, UK 5 Department of Biology and Environmental Science, Linnaeus University, Kalmar, Sweden

6 School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Plymouth University, UK

7 Department of Geography, University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

⁸Institute of Earth and Environmental Sciences & Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, University Potsdam, Germany

9 Department of Environmental Geography (GEODE),

University of Toulouse II Jean Jaurès, France

¹⁰Institute of Ecology, University of Tallinn, Estonia 11Department of Geography and Center for Climatic Research,

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

¹²College of Resources and Environment Science, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, China

CONTACT

Andria Dawson: [andria.dawson@gmail.com](mailto:andria.dawson%40gmail.com?subject=)

REFERENCES

[Calcote R \(1995\) J Ecol 83: 591-602](https://doi.org/10.2307/2261627)

[Commerford JL et al. \(2013\) Am J Plant Sciences 4: 34550](https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2013.47A1001)

[Cook BI et al. \(2012\) Geophys Res Lett 39: L16706](https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052565)

[Hellman S et al. \(2008\) J Quat Sci 23: 21-42](https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.1126)

[Kaplan JO et al. \(2009\) Quat Sci Rev 28: 3016-3034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.09.028)

[Kaplan JO et al. \(2017\) Land 6: 91](https://doi.org/10.3390/land6040091)

[Klein Goldewijk K et al. \(2017\) Earth Syst Sci Data 9:](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-927-2017) [927-953](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-927-2017)

[Li F et al. \(2017\) Veg Hist Archaeobot 26: 587-605](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00334-017-0636-9) [Mazier F et al. \(2012\) Rev Palaeobot Palyno 187: 38-49](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2012.07.017) [Prentice IC, Webb T \(1986\) J Quat Sci 1: 35-43](https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3390010105) [Roberts N et al. \(2018\) Sci Rep 8: 716](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18646-7) [Strandberg G et al. \(2014\) Clim Past 10: 661-680](https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-661-2014) [Sugita S \(2007\) Holocene 17: 229-241](https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683607075837) [Sugita S et al. \(2010\) Quat Res 74: 289-300](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2010.07.008) [Trondman A-K et al. \(2015\) Glob Change Bio 21: 676-697](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12737)

PAGES

[View publication stats](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325623626)