



**HAL**  
open science

## Assessing the influence of long-term urban growth scenarios on urban climate

Rahim Aguejdad, Julia Hidalgo, Omar Doukari, Valéry Masson, Thomas Houet

► **To cite this version:**

Rahim Aguejdad, Julia Hidalgo, Omar Doukari, Valéry Masson, Thomas Houet. Assessing the influence of long-term urban growth scenarios on urban climate. 6th International Congress on Environmental Modelling and Software, Jul 2012, Leipzig, Germany. hal-01197154

**HAL Id: hal-01197154**

**<https://univ-tlse2.hal.science/hal-01197154>**

Submitted on 11 Sep 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Assessing the influence of long-term urban growth scenarios on urban climate

**Rahim Aquejdad<sup>1</sup>, Julia Hidalgo<sup>2</sup>, Omar Doukari<sup>1</sup>, Valéry Masson<sup>2</sup>, Thomas Houet<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire GEODE, UMR CNRS 5602, Université de Toulouse Le Mirail, 5 allées Antonio-Machado

31058 Toulouse, France.

<sup>2</sup> CNRM, Météo-France, Toulouse, France.

Corresponding author: [rahim.aquejdad@univ-tlse2.fr](mailto:rahim.aquejdad@univ-tlse2.fr)

**Abstract:** The objective of this paper is to assess the influence of future urban growth scenarios on future urban climate in Toulouse metropolitan area (France). Specifically, we aim to test the hypothesis that urban growth based on sprawling patterns has a significant influence on the Urban Heat Island (UHI) phenomena than compact patterns. Urban growth simulations, which are based on three contrasting scenarios built by 2100 with respect to different urban patterns, are made using a new spatially explicit urban growth model (SLEUTHR) which is specifically developed for that purpose. Potential UHI maps of 2006 and by 2100 are estimated under the same climate conditions using the SURFEX climate model. The influence of urban form on urban microclimate is assessed by comparing the estimated UHI map of 2006 with the potential UHI maps expected by 2100 with respect to the scenario-based urban expansion maps. Simulations with Meso-NH shows that, for the 2006 experience, the center of Toulouse is warmer than the surrounding rural areas by about 6.4°C at 00 LT and at 06 LT. The results highlight an increase of 1 to 2 degrees in the urban air temperature at the beginning of the night and a loss of cool capacity in the scenarios. Furthermore, the results show that big differences in the scenarios are found when exploring the horizontal distribution of the UHI. The increase in the urbanised surface by 2100 leads to a general elevation of temperatures of about 1°C at 00LT and at 06 LT.

**Keywords:** Urban growth scenarios, Urban patterns, Urban sprawl, Climate change, Urban Heat Island.

## 1. Introduction

Cities' growth has been primarily occurred under the urban sprawl phenomenon which is widely blamed for transforming the landscapes and causing environmental changes such as low density land use, high dependence on automobiles relative to other means of transportation (Squires 2002), fragmentation, low connectivity, loss of vegetation and evapotranspiration. Such irreversible transformations, mainly due to the urban development, influence the small scale land / atmosphere interactions and cause modifications of the surface energy balance through the urban heat island process (Hidalgo et al. 2008).

Many researchers have been interested in studying the relationship between the patterns of land use and the surface temperature in urbanized areas (Stone et al. 2010). Through the association between the urban form and extreme heat events, Stone et al. (2010) found that the rate of increase in the annual number of extreme heat events between 1956 and 2005 in sprawling U.S. cities was more than the double of the observed increase rate in compact cities. Before that, the low density and sprawling patterns of the urban development have also been associated with enhanced surface temperatures in cities (Stone and Norman 2006) in order to


59 prospect the effect of sprawl on the probability and intensity of heat waves. Indeed,  
60 urban forms strongly influence urban climate events such as the urban heat island  
61 phenomenon (Oke 1987, Houet and Pigeon 2011) which may severely impact  
62 human health (Johnson and Wilson 2009).

63  
64 Forecasting future urban growth dynamics and patterns is particularly important to  
65 assess the possible impacts of future climate changes on the urban areas. Giving  
66 multiple long-term visions of future urban patterns, based on urban expansion  
67 simulation, is essential to inform city managers and urban policy decision makers  
68 about sustainable patterns of future urban development.

69  
70 The aim of this paper is to assess the influence of the patterns of future urban  
71 growth scenarios on urban microclimate. Specifically, we aim to examine the  
72 influence of the urban form on the intensity and spatial distribution of the UHI  
73 phenomenon by 2100.

## 74 75 76 **2. Study Area**

77  
78 The urban area of Toulouse, which is located in the Western South of France  
79 (Figure 1) and dispersed within 342 communities, sums up to 4000 km<sup>2</sup> and is  
80 populated by 1,131,642 inhabitants in 2008. The city of Toulouse is ranked as the  
81 4<sup>th</sup> most populated town in France, after Paris, Marseille and Lyon. Each year, it  
82 hosts about 14,000 newcomers, which results in significant needs for housing,  
83 facilities and services. Consequently, the urban area of Toulouse has significantly  
84 decentralized over recent decades in an accelerated sprawling urban growth  
85 representing annually approximately +1,400 ha of urban area.



86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100 **Figure 1: Urban area of Toulouse**

## 101 102 103 **3. Method**

### 104 105 **3.1. A scenario-based urban growth model (SLEUTHR)**

106  
107 The urban growth scenarios are built based on a – participatory – prospective  
108 approach (Godet 1986) using contrasting urban planning, adaptation technologies,  
109 local trends, and major global trends assumptions regardless of capabilities of  
110 available modeling tools. However, no one of those models is relevant enough to  
111 deal with medium and long-term prospective scenarios particularly exploratory and  
112 normative ones. This is why we developed a new tool, which is a based-scenarios  
113 model, through the optimization of the SLEUTH urban growth model (Clarke and  
114 Gaydos 1998). Our new spatially explicit model deals with limitations of the majority  
115 of existing LUC models. This new dynamic model, which combines both

116 economic and geographic driving forces, allows the user to specify the expected  
117 amount of change and urbanization forms that are appropriate to each scenario.  
118 Furthermore, the exogenous quantity and urban forms mean that the model's user  
119 can specify the amount of expected built-up areas and patterns in the prediction  
120 map independently from past LULC trends.

### 122 **3.2. Urban growth scenarios**

123  
124 The future urban growth by 2100 is simulated with respect to the tendency  
125 scenario which assumes the continuity of the actual tendency in the metropolitan  
126 area (global trends, social and economic trends at the local level). Besides, the  
127 annual rate of the urban growth at the urban area of Toulouse is set to 1300 ha.  
128 In order to assess the impact of the sprawling patterns of the urban development  
129 on the urban heat island, three variants of this global scenario are considered  
130 based on the urban form: scenario F1, F2 and F3. A specific urban growth pattern  
131 is assigned to each scenario: edge growth (scenario F1), spontaneous growth  
132 (scenario F2) and a mix of new spreading centers, spontaneous, edge and road-  
133 influenced growth (scenario F3). These scenarios provide three potential urban  
134 forms, serving as basis for a comparative analysis.


### 137 **3.3. Climate assumptions and 3D numerical simulations descriptions**

138  
139 A set of five numerical simulations are performed using the Meso-NH atmospheric  
140 model in order to evaluate the impact of the urban growth and form on the  
141 dynamics of the atmosphere. The meteorological context of the experiments is an  
142 idealised anticyclonic summer situation representative of the south of France. The  
143 atmosphere is characterised by an idealised vertical profile representing a sunny  
144 summer day, with a mixed layer (Brunt-Väissälä frequency  $N = 0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) of depth ( $z_i =$   
145  $2000 \text{ m}$ ). At the top of the mixed layer, the capping temperature inversion layer  
146 was 50 m high with a strong stability ( $N = 0.06 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), allowing to be controlled for  
147 each simulation regardless of the surface heat flux imposed. At the end, the  
148 atmosphere above is represented by a stability of  $N = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . With those initial  
149 conditions set, a run starting at 12LT and of 36 hours of duration is performed for  
150 each of the experiments.

151  
152 The integrity of the differences between the urban and rural surface turbulent  
153 sensible heat flux is set to  $1350 \text{ W/m}^2$ . The westerly zonal wind force was  $U = 2$   
154  $\text{m/s}$  and the diameter of the city varies with respect to the urban growth scenarios  
155 as explained above.

156  
157 The simulation is performed with a horizontal grid resolution of 250 m, which is  
158 sufficient to study the fluid motions and properties at the scale of the whole city. The  
159 horizontal domain is 50 km x 50 km. The vertical coordinate is composed of 35  
160 levels over a vertical domain of 4 km. Vertical resolution varies from 25 m near the  
161 surface to 250 m on the top of the domain. The first atmospheric level is located in  
162 25 m above the urban canopy. Seventeen levels are located in the first 1000 m and  
163 cyclic conditions are considered on the horizontal direction. Water vapour is  
164 considered through a vertical profile of specific humidity of  $0.006 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  inside the  
165 boundary layer and decreasing outside until 0.0029 at 4 km of height. Figure 2  
166 represents the diurnal cycles of urban and rural surface sensible and latent heat  
167 flux imposed on urban and rural areas. The roughness length,  $z_0$ , imposed is  $z_{0R} =$   
168  $0.1 \text{ m}$  for rural surfaces and  $z_{0U} = 1.0 \text{ m}$  for urban surfaces. The subgrid turbulence  
169 is parameterised following the schema of Cuxart et al. (2000) and the mixing length  
170 of Bougeault and Lacarère (1989).

171  
172  
173




174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213

**Figure 2:** Diurnal cycles of rural and urban sensible heat flux (HS) and latent heat flux (HLE) imposed in the mesoscale simulations.

#### 4. Results

##### 4.1. The simulation of urban growth scenarios

As illustrated in figure 3, three urban expansion simulations are carried out by 2100 based on the actual urban map of 2006 and with respect to the fourth urban forms implemented in the basic SLEUTH version (spontaneous growth, new spreading centers, edge growth and road-Influenced growth). In the first two maps, built-up areas are respectively and exclusively simulated through edge growth and diffusion forms, while the last one combines the fourth forms (**10%** spontaneous growth, **10%** new spreading centers, **75%** edge growth, **5%** road-Influenced growth).



**Figure 3:** Built-up areas in 2006 and urban growth simulations by 2100 based on compact, sprawling and combined patterns.

##### 4.2. The impact of the urban development on near surface air temperature

In mid-latitudes, during the night, the long-wave radiation exchange between the rural surface and the sky keeps the surface colder than the air above it, and the

214 boundary-layer stratified. In contrast, at the urban site, the boundary-layer is mixed  
 215 due to the lower sky view factors, the thermal inertia of construction materials, and  
 216 the anthropogenic sources of heat. At daytime, the solar radiation heats the rural  
 217 and urban surfaces and the atmosphere is well mixed up to a high altitude (Stull  
 218 1988). Therefore, the UHI manifests a diurnal cycle with a significant intensity  
 219 during night-time, negative values during the morning and weak values during  
 220 daytime.

221  
 222 Simulations with Meso-NH shows that, for the 2006 experience, the center of  
 223 Toulouse is warmer than the surrounding rural areas by about 6.4 degrees Celsius  
 224 at 00 LT and at 06 LT (Table 1). This result agrees with the intensities observed  
 225 during the summertime, which attended between 4 and 6 C in 2004 during the  
 226 CAPITOUL campaign (Hidalgo et al. 2008). Still, the scenario F3, with less spread-  
 227 out center, seems to highly favour the cool air during the night.  
 228

229 **Table 1:** Differences in temperature between the urban core and the surrounding  
 230 rural areas at both 00 LT and 06 LT.


Run	00 LT			06 LT		
	Tmax	Tmin	UHI	Tmax	Tmin	UHI
2006	24	17,6	6,4	23,6	17,2	6,4
F1	25,2	19,2	6	24,5	20,5	4
F2	25	20	5	24,5	20,5	4
F3	25	19,75	5,25	24,5	20,75	3,75

231  
 232  
 233 As showed in the table 1, the maximum and minimum temperatures (Tmax, Tmin),  
 234 expected based on the three scenarios, are globally greater than what is calculated  
 235 in 2006. Indeed, the scenario F2, which corresponds to an exclusively spontaneous  
 236 growth, leads an increase of the temperature of the surrounding rural areas at 00  
 237 LT. These areas are warmer at 00 LT in the scenario F2 (sprawling urban growth  
 238 patterns) than the F1 (compact form) and F3 (mix of different patterns) scenarios.  
 239

240 Figure 4 shows the diurnal cycles of the average potential temperatures at 2 m of  
 241 height. The results used in this analysis correspond to a vertical plane passing  
 242 through the city center. The rural conditions are taken as the horizontal average of  
 243 the mesh points contained in a line of length of 5 km at a distance of 17 km upwind  
 244 of the city center. Urban conditions are taken as the horizontal average of the mesh  
 245 points contained in a line of length 5 km centred at the city centre that is considered  
 246 in the middle of the domain.  
 247


248 Furthermore, we observe an increase of 1 to 2 degrees in the urban air  
 249 temperature at the beginning of the night and a lost of cool capacity in the scenarios  
 250 with an air temperature quasi constant over the city center. The rest of the diurnal  
 251 cycle is very similar between scenarios. The rural temperature is higher for F1, F2  
 252 and F3 scenarios creating a relative UHI mean lower than that of 2006 situation. In  
 253 fact, the UHI intensity is not a good indicator to study the impact of the scenarios on  
 254 microclimate; still, it must be combined with the absolute temperature at the city  
 255 center.  
 256  
 257  
 258  
 259  
 260

261  
 262  
 263  
 264  
 265  
 266  
 267  
 268  
 269  
 270  
 271  
 272  
 273  
 274  
 275  
 276  
 277  
 278  
 279  
 280  
 281  
 282  
 283  
 284  
 285  
 286  
 287  
 288  
 289  
 290  
 291  
 292  
 293  
 294  
 295  
 296  
 297  
 298  
 299  
 300  
 301  
 302  
 303  
 304  
 305  
 306  
 307  
 308  
 309  
 310  
 311  
 312  
 313  
 314




**Figure 4:** Diurnal cycles of average potential temperatures at 2 m of height

Big differences in the scenarios are found when exploring the horizontal distribution of the UHI. Figure 5 shows the 2 m air potential temperature at 00 LT for 2006 and F1, F2, and F3 scenarios respectively. The increase in urbanised surface leads to a general elevation of temperatures of about 1 degree Celsius at 00LT and at 06 LT (Figures 5 and 6). Moreover, the fraction of city center affected by this elevation varies in function of the scenarios. In particular at 06 LT, the scenario F2 decreases the area of impact more than three times compared with the scenario F3 and between five to six times compared with the scenario F1 (Figure 6).



**Figure 5:** 2 m air temperature for 2006, F1, F2 and F3 scenarios at 00LT

315  
 316  
 317  
 318  
 319  
 320  
 321  
 322  
 323  
 324  
 325  
 326  
 327  
 328  
 329  
 330  
 331  
 332  
 333  
 334  
 335  
 336  
 337  
 338  
 339  
 340  
 341  
 342  
 343  
 344  
 345  
 346  
 347  
 348  
 349  
 350  
 351  
 352  
 353  
 354  
 355  
 356  
 357  
 358  
 359  
 360  
 361  
 362  
 363  
 364  
 365  
 366  
 367  
 368  
 369  
 370



**Figure 6:** 2 m air temperature for 2006, F1, F2 and F3 scenarios at 06LT

## 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

This research yields four principal findings. First, simulations with Meso-NH shows that, for the 2006 experience, the center of Toulouse is warmer than the surrounding rural areas by about 6.4°C at 00 LT and at 06 LT. This result agrees with the intensities observed in 2004 during the CAPITOUL campaign. Second, we observe an increase of 1 to 2 degrees in the urban air temperature at the beginning of the night and a loss of cool capacity in the scenarios with an air temperature quasi constant over the city center. Third, the rural temperature is higher for F1, F2 and F3 scenarios creating a relative UHI mean lower than that of 2006 situation. In fact, the UHI intensity is not a good indicator to study the impact of the scenarios on microclimate; still, it must be combined with the absolute temperature at the city center. Finally, the results show that big differences in the scenarios are found when exploring the horizontal distribution of the UHI. In fact, the increase in the urbanised surface by 2100 leads to a general elevation of temperatures of about 1°C at 00LT and at 06 LT. Indeed, the area of impact affected by this elevation increases as the city spreads horizontally based on an edge growth form. However, in compact cities, the buildings are strongly expected to be high in the city center inducing a decrease in the temperature due to the shadow.

To better understand the relationship between the urban growth patterns and the urban climate, future researches should be conducted. The effect of climate change scenarios must be evaluated by comparing, for a given scenario-based urban map by 2100, the urban heat island maps with respect to various climate change conditions. Furthermore, the green space and water surfaces should be considered in the urban growth simulation for their role in the evapotranspiration process.

371 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

372

373 This work is performed in the ACCLIMAT project which is supported by the RTRA-  
374 STAE foundation. The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for  
375 their relevant and constructive comments and suggestions.

376

377 **REFERENCES**

378

379 Bougeault, P., Lacarrère, P., (1989): Parameterization of orography-induced  
380 turbulence in a meso-beta scale model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*,117: 1870-1888.

381 Clarke, K.C., Gaydos, L., (1998): Long term urban growth prediction using a cellular  
382 automaton model and GIS: Applications in San Francisco and  
383 Washington/Baltimore. *International Journal of Geographical Information*  
384 *Science*.

385 Cuxart, J., Bougeault, P., Redelsperger, J.-L., (2000): A Turbulence Scheme  
386 Allowing for Mesoscale and Large-Eddy Simulations. *Quart. J. Roy.*  
387 *Meteorol. Soc.* 126, 1–30.

388 Doukari, O., Aguejdad, R., Houet, T., (2012): A scenario-based spatially explicit  
389 urban model for forecasting long term urban growth. *iEMSs Conference*  
390 *2012, session F1 (submitted)*.

391 Godet, M., (1986): Introduction to la prospective: seven key ideas and one scenario  
392 method. *Futures* 18:134–157.

393 Hidalgo, J., Masson, V., Baklanov A., Pigeon, G., Gimeno, L., (2008): Advances in  
394 Urban Climate Modeling. *Trends and Directions in Climate Research: Ann.*  
395 *N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1146: 354–374.

396 Houet T. and Pigeon G. (2011), Mapping urban climate zones and quantifying  
397 climate behaviors - an application on Toulouse urban area (France),  
398 *Environmental Pollution*, Vol 159, Iss 8-9, 2180-2192

399 Johnson, D.P., Wilson, J.S., (2009): The socio-spatial dynamics of extreme urban  
400 heat events: the case of heat-related deaths in Philadelphia. *Applied*  
401 *Geography* 29:419–434.

402 Martin, E., Le Moigne, P., Masson, V., and coauthors, (2007): Le code de surface  
403 externalisé SURFEX de MétéoFrance. *Atelier de Modélisation de*  
404 *l'Atmosphère* <<http://www.cnrm.meteo.fr/ama2007/>>, Toulouse, 16-18,  
405 January.

406 Oke, T.R., 1987. *Boundary Layer Climates*. Methuen, London and New York.

407 Squires, G.D., (2002): Urban sprawl and the uneven development of metropolitan  
408 America. In: *Urban Sprawl: Causes, Consequences, and Policy Responses*  
409 (Squires GD, ed). Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, 1–22.

410 Stone, B., Hess, J.J., Frumkin, H., (2010): Urban Form and Extreme Heat Events:  
411 Are Sprawling Cities More Vulnerable to Climate Change Than Compact  
412 Cities? *Environmental Health Perspectives*, volume 118 (10): 1425-1428.

413 Stone, B.Jr., Norman, J., (2006): Land use planning and surface heat island  
414 formation: a parcel-based radiation flux approach. *Atmos Environ* 40(2006):  
415 3561–3573.

416 Stull, R.B., (1988): *An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology*. Kluwer  
417 *Academic Publishers*, Dordrecht, 666 pp.

418