

Analyser et transcrire les variétés d'anglais oral à l'agrégation externe d'anglais

Daniel Huber

▶ To cite this version:

Daniel Huber. Analyser et transcrire les variétés d'anglais oral à l'agrégation externe d'anglais : Atelier : Enjeux en vue de la préparation à l'épreuve de phonologie. Master. France. 2018. cel-02074795

HAL Id: cel-02074795 https://univ-tlse2.hal.science/cel-02074795

Submitted on 20 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Analyser et transcrire les variétés d'anglais oral à l'agrégation externe d'anglais

Atelier : Enjeux en vue de la préparation à l'épreuve de phonologie

16 February 2018
Toulouse

Daniel HUBER

Maître de conférences Université de Toulouse 2-Jean Jaurès Laboratoire CLLE-ERSS (UMR5263)



Ideas for discussion

- -digraphs and neons
- -LOT and CLOTH in GA
- -suffixes and endings
- -lambic Reversal in compound adjectives

first thing to teach and make learners realize:

it is not the pronunciation that's wrong, it's the way we spell

What would be wrong with /I'n^f/?

What would be wrong with /I'nxf/?

What's less trivial is that is corresponds to the spelling <enough>

What would be wrong with /biəd/ or /wiəd/?

What would be wrong with /bɪəd/ or /wɪəd/?

What's less trivial is the alternative spellings of the same vowel: beard versus weird

nothing strange in the pronunciation of /kwaiət/ and /kwaiə/

nothing strange in the pronunciation of /kwaɪət/ and /kwaɪə/

but a near-total mismatch in spelling:
quiet and choir
(they only share <i>)

there are 2 main reasons for this discrepancy btw pronunciation and (standard) spelling:

-'English' pronunciation has changed through time and is changing

-English, like French by the way, has a very long uninterrupted written tradition

'English' pronunciation has changed through time and is changing

the vowels in *meat* [ɛ:] and *meet* [e:] were audibly different when these words acquired their current spelling

=> at the time a different spelling made perfect sense

'English', like French by the way, has a very long uninterrupted written tradition

- => conservatism
- => absorption of various writing traditions

conservatism

to maintain the cultural access to earlier documents, it is not a bad idea to stick to the spelling of those documents

=> meat and meet are still spelt as if they had different pronunciations

absorption of various writing traditions

Germanic tradition:

letter doubling to encode long sounds (mainly vowels) : ee, oo, aa

absorption of various writing traditions

Latin tradition:

qu,

the spelling of Greek words

=> spelling of Greek words: phantom versus fantacy, rhythm, chorus, architecture, choir

absorption of various writing traditions

French (Romance) tradition: the idea of using digraphs (ou/ow, au/aw, th, ch, gh > then sh)

instead of using diacritics ø, ö, ü, ä or ligatures like æ, œ (which were used in OE)

These phenomena reinforce the wide-spread perception that English has a complicated writing system and hence pronunciation

quietly, neon, Leo, riot

quietly, neon, Leo, riot

these words do not have a digraph because the vowel letters do not function as a unit

riot

```
<io> is never a digraph in English
/'uaiət/ is syllabified as /'uai.ət/ with
compression possible ['uaət]
```

neon, Leo

there is indeed *people* where <eo> does encode a vowel, /i:/

- => this example is, however, isolated (unique example)
- => eo does not function as a digraph

neon, Leo

/'ni:ɒn/ /'li:əʊ/ are syllabified /'ni:.ɒn/ /'li:.əʊ/

ne|on and Le|o perfectly regular

quietly

<ie> is a regular digraph before consonants:

/i:/ chief, relieve/relief, thief, hygienic, field, fiend, priest

/iə/ as in *pier, tier*

quietly

/'kwaɪət/ is syllabified as /'kwaɪ.ət/ with compression possible ['kwaət]

similar explanation in: diet

(chaos too, with a different letter group)

LOT and CLOTH

Wells 1982: LOT realized with /q:/ in GA

```
stop, pot, sock, notch, Goth,
rob, odd, cog, dodge,
Tom, con, doll,
solve, romp, font, copse, box,
profit, possible, proverb, bother, rosin,
honest, ponder....;
swan, quality, yacht, wasp, watch, squabble, waffle...;
knowledge, acknowledge.
```

Wells 1982: LOT realized with /a:/ in GA

Both LPD and EPD are unanimous:

All these words invariably have /a:/ in GA

(with cog only in EPD and watch in both also recorded with /ɔ:/ as a secondary GA variant, though...)

Wells 1982: CLOTH realized with /p:/ in GA

Most of these words are recorded with /a:/ as a secondary (or even only or main!) variant in LPD 2008 and EPD 2011

Wells 1982: CLOTH realized with /p:/ in GA

a) off, cough, trough, broth, froth, cross, across, loss, floss, toss,

fosse, doss,

soft, croft, lost, oft, cost, frost,

often, soften, lofty,

Australia, Austria, Austen, Austin,

gone;

Wells 1982: CLOTH realized with /p:/ in GA

a) off, cough, trough, broth, froth, cross, across, loss...

- -all are recorded with a secondary variant in /a:/ in LPD
- (except fosse, doss where /α:/ is the *only* recorded variant in both EPD and LPD)
- -all are recorded with an older variant in RP in /ɔ:/! (except across, floss, loft(y) while gone has non-RP §go:n/§ga:n)
- -EPD: practically all have /a:/ only: cough, trough and the group Aust- have /ɔ:/ too, and the latter have a variant in /ɔ:/ in RP as well)

Wells 1982: CLOTH realized with /ɔ:/ in GA

```
b) moss, boss, gloss, joss, Ross, long, strong, wrong, gong, song, thong, tongs, throng, accost, coffee, coffer, coffin, offer, office, officer, glossy, foster, Boston, Gloucester, sausage; wash;
```

Wells 1982: CLOTH realized with /ɔ:/

b) moss, boss, gloss, joss...

- -all are recorded with a variant in /a:/ in LPD
- -none are recorded with an older variant in RP in /ɔ:/ (except Gloucester, which is in LPD but not in EPD)
- -foster, joss: /a:/ is the only recorded variant in both EPD and LPD
- -EPD consistently has /ɑ:/ for all these words, with /ɔ:/ as secondary in the -ong words, coffee, coffer, Boston, wash, sausage and as main variant in coffin)

Wells 1982: CLOTH realized with /p:/ in GA

```
c) origin, Oregon, oratory, orator, orange, authority, borrow, categorical, correlate, coroner, coral, florid, Florida, florist, florin, historic(al), horrid, horrible, majority, horrify, horror, metaphoric(al), morrow, Morris, moral, Norwich, porridge, rhetorical, sorrel, moribund, ...; sorrow, tomorrow, sorry,
```

Laurence/Lawrence, laurel, laureate,

quarrel, quarry, warrant, warren, warrior, Warwick.

Wells 1982: CLOTH realized with /p:/ in GA

- c) origin, Oregon, oratory, orator, orange, authority,
- -all are recorded with a secondary variant in /a:/ in LPD (except authority)
- -EPD does not record /a:/ for any of these (except: sorry, those in -orrow, which only have /a:/ (morrow: /ɔ:/ too); those in -au/aw, Florida, the group /wp/ in RP, which have secondary /a:/ in GA
- -none are recorded with an older variant in RP in /ɔ:/ (except florist, which is in both LPD and EPD, moribund has secondary /ɔ:/only in EPD, and laureate which has /ɔ:/ first in RP and only /ɑ:/ in GA in LPD but has /ɔ:/ first in EPD)

LOT and CLOTH in GA

The LOT and CLOTH sets

- -show considerable variation between /a:/ and /a:/ in GA
- -are recorded with different main variants and amount of variation in the dictionaries

> The general tendency is towards /a:/ in all these words but much less so in words where the vowel in before /r/

Grotesque – picturesque

=> in *picturesque* one can assume it is a suffix because its morphological (and semantic) relation to *picture* is clear and felt by native speakers

Grotesque – picturesque

=> this is not the case in *grotesque* because there is no morphologically related word today (its relation to *grotto* is etymological, no semantic link anymore)

Grotesque – picturesque

for practical teaching purposes:

=> whenever a word ends in -esque, it is expected to be final stressed, whether this element is semantically active or not

Main message:

-you can't always simply rely on your past experience of listening to English when it comes to discussing fine points of stress placement

-this is particularly true for cases of lambic Reversal

Compound nouns

flattened [cigarette butts]

Compound nouns

flattened cigarette butts

lexical stress	1	0	2	0	1	1
compound stress	n/a		3	0	1	2
phrasal stress	2	0	0	0	1	3

[white-haired] man

white-haired man

lexical stress 1 1 1

white-haired man

lexical stress 1 1 1

compound stress [2 1] n/a

white-haired man

lexical stress111compound stress[21]n/aphrasal stress[23]1

white-haired man

lexical stress 1 1 1

compound stress [2 1] n/a

phrasal stress [2 3] 1

[half-masked] smile

[white-coated] consultant

[black-suited] crowds

user friendly in COCA (Contemp. Corpus AmE)

This adjective is expected to show lambic Reversal before a noun

user 'friendly 'user friendly appli'cations

user friendly in COCA (Contemp. Corpus AmE)

150 tokens

19 tokens from speech (13%)

=> 17 are used predicatively

user friendly in COCA (Contemp. Corpus AmE)

=> 2 are before a noun:

'And out of those five, which do you think is the most user friendly site?'

user friendly | smart things

high-'fibre/'fiber in COCA

200 tokens

=> 198 followed by nouns like *diet, foods, fruits, breakfast, cereal(s), options*

high-'fibre/'fiber in COCA

200 tokens

=> 2 tokens that were used predicatively:

for a dessert that's sweet, high-fiber and virtually fat-free

...that the food you choose are high-fiber

high-'fibre/'fiber in COCA

200 tokens

- => only 10 tokens came from speech (5%)
- => NONE had high-fiber predicatively